

INFLUENCE OF UREOLYTIC BACTERIA (UB) ON THE ENGINEERING  
PROPERTIES AND MICROSTRUCTURE BEHAVIOR OF INTERLOCKING  
COMPRESSED EARTH BRICKS (ICEB)

MEGAT MOHAMAD ZAMER BIN MIGAT OMER

A thesis submitted in  
Fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the  
Master of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering  
University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

DECEMBER 2017

*To my beloved family whom supported me throughout my journey.*

*To my loving mother, Zaiton Binti Merjan; father, Migat Omer Bin Ahmad and siblings,*

*Fatin Nurul Afifah and Nur Hazwani,*

*Thank you for your prayers, patience, understanding and being the ones who lift me up*

*everytime I fall down.*

*Also to my beloved friends. Who are always there when I need them.*

*Thank you for your support.*

*Last but not least, the highest appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Irwan*

*Bin Juki, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norzila Binti Othman and Dr Faisal Sheikh Khalid.*

*Thanks for your precious guideline.*



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Alhamdulillah and thanks to Allah S.W.T. for allowing me to finalize and completed this research. This research has been made possible by the guideline provided by my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Irwan Bin Juki and my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norzila Binti Othman and Dr. Faisal Sheikh Khalid. The appreciation also goes to the all parties involved in completing this project especially to Structure and Material Laboratory, Universiti Tun Hussien Onn Malaysia (UTHM). My sincere appreciation also extends to my family especially to my parents, Zaiton Binti Merjan and Migat Omer Bin Ahmad with their understanding and support. Last but not least, thanks to all my UTHM comrades especially to my friends that always cheers me up at my difficult time.



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUNJAMINAH

## ABSTRACT

Interlocking Compressed Earth Brick (ICEB) are cement stabilized soil bricks that allow for dry stacked construction. This characteristic resulted to the quick process of building walls at the same time, it requires less skilled labour as the bricks are laid dry and can easily be locked into its place. However, there are still rooms for improving the interlocking bricks by increasing its durability. Many studies had been conducted in order to improve the durability of bricks using environmental friendly method. One of the methods is by adding a certain amount of bacteria into the bricks during its production. Bacteria in brick induced calcite precipitation (calcite crystals) to cover the voids continuously. Ureolytic Bacteria (UB) is used in this study as a partially replacement of limestone water by varying the percentage at 1% UB, 3% UB and 5% UB. Enrichment process is done at soil condition to ensure the survivability of UB in ICEB environment. This research also evaluates the effect of UB in improving the engineering properties of ICEB and analyze the microstructure and morphology of UB in ICEB. Dimensional, compressive strength, water absorption and carbonation tests are performed for engineering properties, whereas X-ray fluorescence (XRF), Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) are analyzed for microstructure testing. The production of ICEB is carried out at Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd Industry at Sedenak, Johor and all test are conducted at Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) laboratory. The results for the engineering properties and microstructure analysis are compared with the control sample. It had been shown that the addition of 5% UB in ICEB indicated positive results in improving the ICEB properties by 15.25% increment in strength, 14.72% reduction in initial water absorption, 14.68% reduction in water absorption and 15.89% reduction of carbonation depth as compared with the control sample. Precipitation of calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) in form of calcite can be distinguished clearly in microstructure analysis. As a conclusion, addition of 5% UB in ICEB promoted the highest increment in compressive strength and the lowest reduction in water absorption as compared with the other UB percentages.

## ABSTRAK

Bata saling mampat (ICEB) adalah bata tanah yang membolehkan pembinaan bangunan dijalankan tanpa menggunakan simen mortar. Oleh itu, proses pembinaan dinding bangunan adalah lebih cepat dan mengurangkan penggunaan buruh mahir. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat banyak ruang penyelidikan dalam meningkatkan kekuatan dan ketahanan blok saling mampat ini. Baru-baru ini pelbagai kajian telah dijalankan untuk meningkatkan ketahanan batu bata dengan menggunakan kaedah mesra alam. Salah satunya adalah dengan memperkenalkan penggunaan bakteria ke dalam batu-bata. Hal ini kerana bakteria mampu menghasilkan (*kristal calcite*) sebagai produk akhir hasil dari bio-kalsifikasi. Kristal-kristal ini akan menampung lompong serta rongga-rongga di dalam bata secara berterusan. Bakteria Ureolytic (UB) telah digunakan dalam kajian ini sebagai cecair pengganti air batu kapur dengan peratusan sebanyak 1%, 3% dan 5%. Proses pengkayaan dilakukan dalam keadaan tanah untuk memastikan UB dapat hidup dalam persekitaran ICEB. Kajian ini juga menilai kesan UB dalam meningkatkan ciri-ciri kejuruteraan ICEB dan menganalisis mikrostruktur dan pembentukan UB dalam ICEB. Ujian dimensi, kekuatan mampatan, penyerapan air dan pengkarbonan telah dijalankan untuk menilai sifat kejuruteraan, manakala X-ray pendarfluor (XRF), imbasan mikroskop elektron (SEM) dan X-ray pembelauan (XRD) telah dianalisis untuk ujian mikrostruktur. Pembuatan ICEB dijalankan di Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd Industri di Sedenak, Johor dan semua ujian telah dijalankan di Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM). Keputusan bagi sifat-sifat kejuruteraan dan mikrostruktur analisis dibandingkan dengan sampel kawalan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan penambahan 5% UB ke dalam ICEB membawa peningkatan yang tertinggi dalam kekuatan sebanyak 15.25% serta pengurangan kadar awal penyerapan air sebanyak 14.72%, 14.68% pengurangan kadar serapan air dan 15.89% pengurangan kadar kedalaman pengkarbonan berbanding dengan sampel yang lain. Ini menunjukkan bahawa UB dalam ICEB menunjukkan hasil yang positif dalam meningkatkan sifat-sifat ICEB dan pemendakan kalsium karbonat ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) dalam bentuk calcite boleh dilihat dengan jelas di dalam analisis mikrostruktur. Kesimpulannya, penambahan 5% UB ke dalam ICEB menunjukkan kenaikan tertinggi dalam kekuatan mampatan dan pengurangan yang paling rendah dalam penyerapan air berbanding peratusan lain.

## CONTENTS

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Scope of Research	4
1.5 Importance of Research	5
1.6 Thesis Outline	5
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	6
2.1.1 Types of bricks	7
2.1.2 Clay bricks	7
2.1.3 Fire brick	8
2.1.4 Sand-lime brick	8
2.1.5 Cement brick	8
2.1.6 Interlocking brick/block	9
2.2 Compressed earth bricks (CEB)	9
2.3 Interlocking compressed earth brick (ICEB)	10
2.4 Principles of ICEB	11
2.5 Advantages and disadvantages of ICEB	12

2.6	The composition of ICEB	13
2.6.1	Soil	13
2.6.2	Sand	15
2.6.3	Cement	16
2.6.4	Water	16
2.7	Compressed bricks failure due to moisture	17
2.8	Water absorption and moisture content of Brick	18
2.9	Bacteria	19
2.10	Carbonate precipitation	20
2.11	Microbiology induced calcite precipitation (MICP)	21
2.12	Ureolytic bacteria (UB)	22
2.13	Precipitation of calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) through urea hydrolysis	24
2.14	Effect of using bacteria in brick on various parameters	25
2.14.1	Compressive strength	26
2.14.2	Water absorption	32
2.14.3	Void ratio, porosity and dry density	37
2.14.4	Carbonation	38
2.15	Bacterial carbonate precipitation (BCP) applications in construction material	39
2.15.1	Bioremediation of cracks	39
2.15.2	Biodeposition on cementitious materials	39
2.15.3	BiogROUT	40
2.15.4	Improve durability of bricks	40
2.16	Previous studies on construction material Improvement	41
2.17	Concluding remark	43

## CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Phase 1: Enrichment of Ureolytic Bacteria (UB)	45
3.2.1	Enrichment process	45
3.2.2	Growth curve	47
3.2.3	Preparation of agar	47
3.2.4	Serial dilution process and colony count	49
3.2.5	Gram staining and bacteria morphology	50
3.3	Phase 2: Preparation of ICEB for control and sample	51
3.3.1	Raw material	52
3.3.2	Laterite soil	52
3.3.3	Sand	53
3.3.4	Cement	54
3.3.5	Limestone water	55
3.3.6	Ureolytic Bacteria (UB)	56
3.3.7	Characteristic of raw material	56
3.3.7.1	X-ray fluorescence (XRF)	56
3.3.7.1	Procedure of making pellet for XRF analysis	57
3.3.8	ICEB mix design	58
3.3.9	Production of ICEB	59
3.3.10	Curing	60
3.4	Phase 3: Experimental testing	61
3.4.1	Engineering properties of ICEB	61
3.4.1.1	Dimension tolerance	62
3.4.1.2	Compressive strength	62
3.4.1.3	Initial rate of water absorption	64
3.4.1.4	Water absorption by soaking	66
3.4.1.5	Capillary water absorption	67
3.4.1.6	Carbonation	69
3.4.2	Micro structure test	70
3.4.2.1	Scanning electron microscopy	



	(SEM)	71
	3.4.2.2 X-ray diffraction (XRD)	73
3.5	Summary	73

## CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	74
4.2	Phase 1: Enrichment of ureolytic bacteria	75
4.2.1	Growth curve	75
4.2.2	Gram staining of Ureolytic bacteria (UB)	77
4.3	Phase 2: Engineering properties of ICEB	78
4.3.1	Dimensional Tolerance	79
4.3.2	Compressive strength	82
4.3.3	Initial rate of water absorption	86
4.3.4	Water absorption by 24-hour soaking	90
4.3.5	Capillary water absorption	94
4.3.6	Carbonation	100
	4.3.6.1 Effect of Ureolytic Bacteria (UB) on carbonation	101
4.4	Phase 3: Microstructure and morphology of ICEB	105
4.4.1	Chemical composition identification using X-ray Fluorescence (XRF)	105
4.4.2	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis	107
	4.4.2.1 Pore size	107
	4.4.2.2 Calcium carbonate (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) precipitation	110
4.4.3	Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDX) analysis	112
4.4.4	X-ray diffraction (XRD) Analysis	116
4.5.	Summary	122

## CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1	Introduction	123
5.2	Conclusion	123
5.2.1	Optimum growth of ureolytic bacteria (UB)	123
5.2.2	Engineering properties	124
5.2.2.1	Compressive strength	124
5.2.2.2	Water absorption	124
5.2.2.3	Carbonation	124
5.2.3	Microstructure analysis	125
5.3	Overall conclusion	125
5.4	Research contribution	125
5.5	Recommendations for future research	126

## REFERENCES

127



PT TA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Advantages of ICEB	12
2.2	Disadvantages/Limitation of ICEB	13
2.3	Increasing of compressive strength compared to control (Shahrooz <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	28
2.4	Summary previous research on compressive Strength	31
2.5	Influence of <i>B. pasteurii</i> in reducing the percentage of water absorption in brick (Sarda <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	36
2.6	Summary previous research on water absorption	36
2.7	Summary of previous research works in improving the construction material properties.	41
3.1	Chemical composition of cement	54
3.2	Mixture for 1 unit brick of ICEB	58
3.3	Mixture for each control and sample of ICEB for 175 unit bricks	59
3.4	Specimen for compressive strength test	63
3.5	Specimen for initial rate of water absorption test	65
3.6	Specimen for water absorption by soaking test	67
3.7	Specimen for capillary action testing	68
3.8	Specimen for carbonation test	70
4.1	Absorbance daily measurement	75
4.2	Dimension tolerance for individual ICEB	81
4.3	Overall dimension measurement for ICEB sample	82
4.4	Compressive strength for ICEB sample with and without the addition of UB	83
4.5	Initial rate of water absorption for ICEB sample with and without addition of UB	87

4.6	Water absorption for ICEB sample with and without the addition of UB	91
4.7	Result of capillary water absorption at day 7th days of testing	95
4.8	Results of capillary water absorption at 14th days of testing	96
4.9	Results of capillary water absorption at 28th days of testing	97
4.10	Results of carbonation for 7 days	102
4.11	Results of carbonation for 14 days	102
4.12	Results of carbonation for 28 days	103
4.13	Chemical composition in soil, sand and cement used for ICEB production	105
4.14	XRF analysis for ICEB sample with and without addition of UB	106
4.15	Microstructure of ICEB with and without addition of UB.	108
4.16	Precipitation of calcite in ICEB with addition of UB	111
4.17	EDX chemical compound analysis for ICEB specimen.	113
4.18	Chemical compound for ICEB specimen by XRD analysis	117

## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Soil structure (Anyway, 2009)	14
2.2	Soil distribution in Peninsular Malaysia (Panagos <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	15
2.3	Illustration of growth curve for bacteria (Siddique & Chahal, 2011)	19
2.4	Calcium carbonate formation on cell wall (Siddique & Chalal, 2011)	23
2.5	Effect of bacteria on the compressive strength of interlocking brick at 3, 7, 14 and 28 days, (Sada <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	27
2.6	Effect of microbial calcite deposition by <i>B. pasteurii</i> grown in OptU media and NB on the compressive strength of bricks in comparison to control specimens cured in water at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days (Supriya <i>et al.</i> , 2013).	29
2.7	Increment of compressive strength in bacterial ash bricks compared with untreated bricks for 7 <sup>th</sup> , 14 <sup>th</sup> and 28 days (Navdeep <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	30
2.8	Wet compressive strength of bacterial treated sample compared to the control sample (Mukherjee <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	30
2.9	Percentage increment of compressive strength with addition of bacteria.	31
2.10	Influence of microbial calcite deposition on (a) water absorption rate, (b) initial rate of water absorption (Navdeep <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	33

2.11	Influence of biocalcification process on water absorption in bricks (Supriya <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	34
2.12	Influence of <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> reducing the water absorption percentage of bricks (Mukherjee <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	35
2.13	Percentage reduction of water absorption with addition of bacteria	37
2.14	a) void ratio versus p-wave velocity and b) dry density versus p-wave velocity for bricks (Bernadi <i>et al.</i> , 2014).	38
2.15	Biodeposition – Thin sections (i) and SEM pictures (ii) (De Muynck <i>et al.</i> , 2008).	39
2.16	First field scale (100m <sup>3</sup> ) Biogrouting experiment (Van Paassen, 2009)	40
3.1	Flow chart of research methodology	44
3.2	Adjusting of pH during enrichment process of UB	46
3.3	Measuring the concentration of oxygen using Dissolve Oxygen (DO) meter	47
3.4	Yeast Extract Agar for ureolytic bacteria (UB).	48
3.5	Material used for agar.	49
3.6	Materials used for serial dilution	50
3.7	Serial dilution process for Ureolytic bacteria (UB).	50
3.8	Reagents used during gram staining process	51
3.9	Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn. Bhd factory location	51
3.10	Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn. Bhd emblem and factory details	52
3.11	Storage for laterite soil in Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd	53
3.12	Storage for sand in Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd	53
3.13	Ordinary portland cement in Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd	54

3.14	The preparation of limestone water in Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd	55
3.15	Ureolytic Bacteria (UB) in liquid form ready to be used.	56
3.16	XRF pallet making apparatus	57
3.17	Mixing of laterite soil, sand and cement for production of ICEB	59
3.18	Addition of limestone water and Ureolytic bacteria (UB)	60
3.19	Production of ICEB specimen	60
3.20	Process of ICEB curing at Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd factory	61
3.21	Dimension tolerance testing according to BS 3921: 1985, (British Standard 3921:1985)	62
3.22	ICEB compressive strength test	64
3.23	The initial rate of water absorption test.	65
3.24	Water absorption testing by soaking 24-hour of ICEB.	67
3.25	Capillary action test of ICEB	69
3.26	Measurement of carbonation test	70
3.27	Prepared sample for SEM testing	71
3.28	Tools for producing conductor material on the surface of the sample.	72
3.29	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) machine	72
4.1	The growth curve of ureolytic bacteria (UB)	76
4.2	Ureolytic bacteria shaken continuously before used in ICEB production.	77
4.3	Image of ureolytic bacteria (UB) under microscope	78
4.4	Dimension of ICEB	79
4.5	Dimension for ICEB in centimeter (cm) using AutoCAD software	79
4.6	Overall measurement of length for ICEB sample	80
4.7	Overall measurement of height for ICEB sample	80

4.8	Overall measurement of width for ICEB sample	81
4.9	Increment pattern of ICEB sample in compressive strength with and without addition of UB.	84
4.10	Comparison between control sample and treated sample with UB on the 28 <sup>th</sup> days of testing	85
4.11	Comparison of strength obtained between the present study and other researchers	86
4.12	Initial rate of water absorption of ICEB sample	88
4.13	Influence of calcium carbonate precipitation on initial water absorption for 28 <sup>th</sup> days of testing	89
4.14	Pattern for water absorption results for ICEB with and without UB	92
4.15	Influence of calcium carbonate precipitation on water absorption for 28 <sup>th</sup> days of testing.	93
4.16	Comparison results of water absorption reduction between present study and other previous researchers	94
4.17	Results for capillary action measurement at 7 <sup>th</sup> days of testing	98
4.18	Results for capillary action measurement at 14 <sup>th</sup> days of testing	99
4.19	Results for capillary action measurement at 28 <sup>th</sup> days	100
4.20	The outlook of sliced ICEB before carbonation test	101
4.21	The outlook of sliced bacteria ICEB after spraying with phenolphthalein indicator solution and measurement area	101
4.22	Results of carbonation depth of ICEB	103
4.23	The graph of sample with 0% UB by EDX analysis	113
4.24	The graph of sample with 1% UB by EDX analysis	114
4.25	The graph of sample with 3% UB by EDX	



	analysis	114
4.26	The graph of sample with 5% UB by EDX analysis	115
4.27	Increment of calcium oxide (CaO) in ICEB specimen.	115
4.28	XRD results for control ICEB	118
4.29	XRD results for addition of 1% UB in ICEB	119
4.30	XRD results analysis for addition of 3% UB in ICEB	120
4.31	Results analysis for addition of 5% UB in ICEB	121
4.32	Increment of calcite in ICEB specimen	122



## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<i>kg</i>	-	Kilogram
<i>CaCO<sub>3</sub></i>	-	Calcium carbonate
%	-	Percentage
<i>Cells/ml</i>	-	Cells per 1ml
<i>CO<sub>2</sub></i>	-	Carbon Dioxide
<i>A</i>	-	Cross sectional area of the sample
<i>L</i>	-	Litre
<i>ml</i>	-	Millilitre
<i>NTU</i>	-	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
<i>UB</i>	-	Ureolytic bacteria
<i>N/mm<sup>2</sup></i>	-	Newton per milliliter square
UTHM	-	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
ICEB	-	Interlocking Compressed Earth Bricks
CEB	-	Compressed earth bricks
UB	-	Ureolytic Bacteria
MICP	-	Microbiologically Induced Calcite Precipitation



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of study

Interlocking compressed earth brick (ICEB) masonry has the potential to provide affordable construction around the world. Comprised of basic, inexpensive materials, such as soil, the bricks can provide homes and other facilities at low cost. By creating interlocking joints between layers of bricks, ICEBs allow for the bricks to be dry stacked, without the need for mortar.

ICEB is a cost effective and sustainable construction material. ICEB construction has the potential to bring durable and affordable homes to developing countries around the world (Laursen *et al.*, 2012). Today, ICEB construction is becoming increasingly popular in developing countries including Malaysia. In Malaysia the application of interlocking brick was introduced due to the sustainable development. ICEB are energy efficient because its require less labor energy in construction process compared to the fired bricks and concrete masonry units (Maini, 2005). These reductions lower the cost of labor as much as 80% (Anand *et al.*, 2005). These advantages make ICEBs a practical and preferred construction form.

There are many types of interlocking brick develop in various part of the world. For example, Haenar bricks, the Menaco brick system, and Putra brick (Thanoon *et al.*, 2004). Although various systems were developed, the main idea of an interlocking brick system is to provide a much faster construction time. This is because interlocking brick system is dry stacked and use less mortar compared with conventional masonry construction.

Extensive research and testing have been conducted for ICEBs aiming at understanding specific compressed earth brick properties namely compressive strength, and bond characteristics based on soil and stabilization properties, optimum earth brick mix with consideration of different soil types, cement content, water content, durability and compaction of ICEBs (Burroughs, 2006; Reddy *et al.*, 2007; Bales *et al.*, 2009; Jayasinghe *et al.*, 2009). As an attempt, advancement in ICEBs technology should look into the ICEBs engineering properties improvement with addition of bacteria.

Recent development, a stronger and more durable brick has been invented incorporating a biological approach namely bacteria. This new approach is called a bio-brick which utilized bacterial mineral precipitation to increase the durability of brick. This crossbreed leads to more durable material construction and last longer. Therefore, in this study a new field of research are conducted to determine the effect of ureolytic bacteria (UB) in improving the engineering properties of ICEB.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

Interlocking Compressed Earth Bricks (ICEB) is an example from one of five groups of IBS. ICEB is often chosen by sustainable industries as it does not require the bricks to be burnt, thus reduce the emission of carbon dioxide. The production of ICEB is also faster and effective as compared with conventional bricks. According to Maini (2005) there is a large reduction in purchased material since soil is the main ingredient in ICEB construction. The traditional masonry relies heavily on skilled labor, expensive materials and time consuming. The interlocking nature of ICEB allows for dry stacked, mortar-less construction, which reduces the need for skilled labor and shortens construction time.

Despite the advantages, ICEB also has some deficiencies. ICEB is not suitable when used in tropical environments, characterized by frequent and intense rainfall and long periods of high relative humidity. This is due to the fact that ICEBs are produced mainly from soil as the bulk ingredient, which is notorious for being prone to erosion and disintegration in water. Under the severe conditions often experienced in the humid tropics, soil-based brick often show considerable defects even over short periods of time. There are also others problems associated with ICEB namely low strength, higher water absorption, low fire resistance and high porosity (Irwan *et al.*,

2016). Water absorption is a function of clay and cement content and usually related with the strength and durability of earth bricks (Riza *et al.*, 2010). Higher rate of water absorption will results in low compressive strength and durability.

Production of ICEBs by factory were coated with chemical substances which is used to counter erosion due to long periods of high relative humidity. Consequently, the maintenance costs or even early rebuilding costs of deteriorated ICEBs structures are undesirable and unsustainable (Riza *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, to achieve sustainable construction, environmental friendly solution should be implemented to the ICEB.

Previous studies had taken different approach to improve the properties of construction material by introducing the used of bacteria. The use of bacteria is one of new fundamental research in improving construction material in order to pursuit sustainable construction. Researcher such as Muynck *et al.*, (2008) used *Bacillus sphaericus*, Navdeep *et al.*, (2012) used *Bacillus megaterium*, Mukherjee *et al.*, (2013) used *Bacillus megaterium* and Bernardi *et al.*, (2014) used *Sporosarcina paseurii*. All bacteria used by previous studies resulted in increasing on compressive strength and reduction on water absorption by comparing control sample and treated sample with bacteria. Positive results from previous studies indicated that the successfulness of using bacteria as an environmental friendly solution in improving the durability of construction material. According to Siddique *et al.*, (2011), bacteria are able to promote the precipitation of calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) in the form of calcite. These calcite acts as bio-sealant by filling the pores which lead to reduction in water absorption, porosity, permeability, enhance the strength and prevent water ingress. Hence it will improve the durability of the material properties.

Even extensive of research incorporated bacteria in construction material, less knowledge were found on application of bacteria in ICEB. Ureolytic bacteria (UB) was selected for this study as an approach toward environmental solution in improving the engineering properties of ICEB. The origin of bacteria used was the same as Irwan *et al.*, (2016) study which state that UB origin was from fresh urine. Irwan *et al.*, (2016) study also reported that UB are able to improve the construction material properties by bacterial activities to producing calcium carbonate. Due to availability, locality and positive results from previous research, promote to the selection of UB toward this study. The understanding on fundamental precipitation calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) had been applied in this research with the use of UB to improve the durability of ICEB.

Optimum growth condition is a first attempt before using the bacteria in ICEB. Optimal growth need to be measured for ensuring high survival of the bacteria after addition in the brick. The effect of UB in the brick should be verified by evaluating engineering properties of the ICEB. Compressive strength, water absorption and carbonation are the important engineering properties that should be investigated. The existing of UB in the brick will be further investigate by microstructure and morphology analysis of the ICEB. Therefore, this research hope that an environmental friendly solution to improve the durability and properties of ICEB would be produced by introducing the used of bacteria in ICEB.

### **1.3 Objective**

The objectives of this research are:

- i. To determine optimum growth for the UB in acclimatizing soil condition.
- ii. To evaluate the effect of UB in improving the engineering properties of ICEB.
- iii. To investigate and analyze the microstructure and morphology of ICEB added with UB.

### **1.4 Scope of research**

This research is mainly focused on the ways to improve the engineering properties of ICEB by introducing the use of UB. This research consists of laboratory works and field activities. Laboratory works such as enrichment process are important in order to ensure the survivability of UB bacteria in ICEB. Enrichment process of UB are performed in soil condition to suit the ICEB environment. In order to determine the effect of the bacteria in bricks, testing such as compressive strength, water absorption, carbonation, chemical properties and microstructure testing were conducted on the bricks. These following test were conducted inside the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) laboratory, whereas the production of ICEB and curing was conducted in ICEB Factory, Iryas Inc. (M) Sdn Bhd at Sedenak, Johor. The addition of UB in ICEB are partially replacement of limestone water which was represent by 1%, 3% and 5% addition of bacteria during ICEB production.

The data from the test were collected and compared with the control brick to determine the effect of UB towards the ICEB. All the engineering properties testing are done for each percentage and control sample for 7<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> days of testing. The microstructure test are performed for the material properties and morphology of UB to distinguish the precipitation of calcium carbonate ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) in ICEB. Microstructure test such as X-ray Fluorescence (XRF), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and X-ray Diffraction (XRD) were selected in this study.

### **1.5 Importance of research**

The importance of this research is to improve the engineering properties of existing ICEB with the help of UB. Apart from that, the use of bacteria is hope to reduce the use of chemical in brick treatment and achieve an environmentally friendly for sustainable development.

### **1.6 Thesis outline**

Chapter 1 present the introduction of the research, problem statement, objective and scope of research concerning the application of ureolytic bacteria in improving the ICEB engineering properties.

Chapter 2 provide the published works from previous studies to support the findings of this research. This chapter reviews the process of calcium carbonate,  $\text{CaCO}_3$  precipitation in form of calcite. The effect of using bacteria from previous research on improving construction material properties are also overviewed.

Chapter 3 describes the experimental works used throughout this research. The materials and laboratory tests conducted under this study are elaborated in this chapter. The results obtained from the experiment were analyzed, evaluated and further discuss in Chapter 4.

Chapter 5, present the conclusion of this research and recommendations for further works.



## REFERENCES

- Achal, V., Mukherjee A., and Reddy, M. S., (2011). Microbial Concrete: A way to enhance the Durability of Building Structures, *ASCE J. Materials for Civil Engineering*, Vol. 23 (6), 730-734.
- Adam, E and Agib A. (2001), Compressed Stabilised Earth Block Manufacture in Sudan. Printed by Graphoprint for the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. France, Paris, UNESCO'.
- Aimé Jules Fopossi, Raphael Ndisya Mutuku, and François Ngague (2014), Effects of Stabilizers on Water Absorption of Compressed Earth Blocks Made From Mangu Soil. *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Emerging Technologies*, 7, 490-495.
- Al-Thawadi, S.M. (2008). *High Strength In-Situ Biocementation of Soil by Calcite Precipitating Locally Isolated Ureolytic Bacteria Perth*, Murdoch University, Western Australia: Ph.D. thesis.
- Anand, K.B., and Ramamurthy, K. (2005), Development and Evaluation of Hollow Concrete Interlocking Block Masonry System. *The Masonry Society Journal*. 23:1, 11-19.
- Anifowose A.Y.B., (2000), *Stabilisation of lateritic soils as a raw material for building blocks*, Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 58: p. 151-157
- Anyway (2009) *Introduction to the Production of Compressed Stabilized Earth Block (CSEB) using AnyWay Soil Block*, Auroville Earth Institute.

- Auroy M, Stephane Poyet, Patrick Le Bescop, Jean Michel Torrenti, (2014). Impact of carbonation on water transport properties of cement-based materials. *2nd International Symposium on Cement-Based Materials for Nuclear Wastes (NUWCEM2014)*. France.
- Bahar R., Benazzoug M., Kenai S. (2004). *Performance of compacted cement-stabilized soil*, Civil Engineering Department, University of Blida, 2004, pp 811
- Bales, C., Donahue, C., Fisher, M., Mellbom, A., and Pearson, T. (2009). Interlocking Compressed Earth Blocks, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California.
- Beall C. (2000). New masonry products, materials. *Progress in Structural Engineering and Materials* 2: 296–303.
- Bernadi D., Dejong J.T, Montoya B.M. and MattineZ B.C. (2014), Bio-bricks: Biologically cemented sandstone bricks, *Journal of Construction and Building Material*, 55, 462-469.
- Billong N., Melo U.C., Louvet F., and Njopwouo D. (2008), “Properties of compressed lateritic soil stabilized with a burnt clay-lime binder: Effect of mixture components”. *Construction and Building Materials*, 23(6): p. 2457-2460.
- Bird J. M. and Putman G. W. (2008) Natural analog system for reducing permeability of ground. *Patent* 7,381,014. Washington D.C.: U.S.
- Blondet, M. and R. Aguilar. (2007), Seismic protection of earthen buildings, *International Symposium on Earthen Structures*. Bangalore, Indian Institute of Science, 22-24 August. Interline Publishing, India.
- Brady, John B., and Boardman, Shelby J., (1995), Introducing Mineralogy Students to X-ray Diffraction Through Optical Diffraction Experiments Using Lasers. *Jour. Geol. Education*, v. 43 #5, 471-476.

Brady, John B., Newton, Robert M., and Boardman, Shelby J., (1995), New Uses for Powder X-ray Diffraction Experiments in the Undergraduate Curriculum. *Jour. Geol. Education*, v. 43 #5, 466-470.

British Standard Institution, BS 3921: 1985, *Specification for Clay Bricks*, Clay Product Standards Committee, London, England..

British Standards Institution. (1981). BS 6073: 1981: *Precast concrete masonry units – Part 1: Specification for precast concrete masonry units*. BSI. London, England. ISBN 0 580 121895.

Burroughs, S. (2006). Strength of Compacted Earth: Linking Soil Properties to Stabilizers. *Building and Research & Information*. 34:55-65.

Castanier, S. Le Metayer-Levrel, G. and Perthuisot, J. (1999), Ca-carbonates precipitation and limestone genesis—the microbiogeologist point of view. *Sedimentary Geology* 126, 9– 23p.

De Muynck, W.; Debrouwer, D.; DeBelie, N.; Verstraete, W. (2008) Bacterial carbonate precipitation improves the durability of cementitious materials. *Cement and Concrete Research* 38: 1005–1014p.

De Muynck, W.; Debrouwer, D.; DeBelie, N.; Verstraete, W. (2010) Microbial carbonate precipitation in construction materials: A review. *Ecological Engineering* 36: 118–136p.

DeJong, J.T.; Mortensen, B.M.; Martinez, B.C.; Nelson D.C. (2008). Bio-mediated Soil Improvement. *Ecological Engineering* 36: 197-210p.

Dhami N.K., Reddy M.S. and Mukherjee A. (2012), Improvement in strength properties of ash bricks by bacterial calcite, *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 39, 31-35.

Dyskin, A.V. Estrin, Y. Pasternak, E. Khor H.C. and Kanel-Belov, A.J. (2003). Fracture resistant structures based on topological interlocking with non-planar contacts, *Advanced Engineering Materials* 5 (3), pp. 116–119.

- Dyskin, A.V. Estrin, Y. Pasternak, E. Khor H.C. and Kanel-Belov, A.J. (2005). The principle of topological interlocking in extraterrestrial construction, *Acta Astronautica* 57, 10-21.
- Estrin, Y., Dyskin, A.V., Kanel-Belov A.J. and Pasternak, E. (2002). Materials with novel architectonics assemblies of interlocked elements. B. L Karihaloo (Ed), *IUTAM Symposium on Analytical and Computational Fracture Mechanics of Non-homogeneous Materials*, 51-55 Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 51–56.
- Frankel R. B. and Bazylinski D. A. (2003) Biologically Induced Mineralization by Bacteria, *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 54, 95-114.
- Gallegos, H. (1988). Mortarless masonry: the Mecano system. *International Journal of Housing Science and its Applications* V12, No. 2, 145–157.
- Gilroy, D. Goffi, E.D. (2001). *Modular interlocking brick system in wide use at BHP*, *AISE Steel Technology*, January.
- Guettala, A. Abibsi, A. and Houari, H. (2006) Durability study of stabilized earth concrete under both laboratory and climatic conditions exposure, *Construction and Building Materials*, 20(3), pg. 119-127.
- Hadjri, K., Osmani, M., Baiche, B. and C. Chifunda. (2007). Attitude towards earth building for Zambian housing provision. *Proceedings of the ICE institution of civil engineers*, engineering sustainability 160, issue ES3.
- Hammes F., Seka A., de Knijf S., Verstraete W. (2003a) A novel approach to calcium removal from calcium-rich industrial wastewater, *Water Research*, 37, 699-704.
- Harris H. G., Oh K. and Hamid A. A. (1992). Development of new interlocking and mortarless block masonry units for efficient building systems. *Proceedings of the 6th Canadian Masonry Symposium, Saskatoon Saskatchewan, Canada*, pp. 15–17.

Heath, A (2009), The compressive strength of modern earth masonry. *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Non-conventional Materials and Technologies* (NOCMAT 2009), Bath, UK.

Hines, T (1993). Benefits of dry-stack interlocking concrete masonry as a component of cost effective construction. *Proceedings of the 6th North American Masonry Conference, Philadelphia*, 849–861.

Houben, H. and. H. Guillaud. (1994), Earth construction. Intermediate Technology publication 1994, London.

Irwan J. M., Faisal Alshalif A., Othman, N. and Asyraf, R.M (2014), Isolation of Ureolytic and Sulphate Reduction Bacteria: Acclimitize to Concrete Environment, *International Conference on Civil, Biological and Environmental Engineering* (2014).

Irwan J.M., Anneza L.H., Othman N., Husnul T. and Alshalif A.F. (2016), Isolation and Identification of Concrete Environment bacteria, IOP Conference Series: *Materials Science and Engineering*. 136(1), 012088.

Irwan J.M., Zamer M.M., and Othman N., (2016), A review on Interlocking Compressed Earth blocks (ICEB) with addition of bacteria, *MATEC Web Conferences*, 47, 01017.

Jaafar, M.S. Alwathaf, A.H Thanoon, W.A Noorzai, J. Abdulkadir, M.R.(2006) Behaviour of interlocking mortarless block masonry, *Proceedings of Institute of Engineering*, Construction Materials 159 August, Issue CM3 pp. 111-117.

Jaquin, P. (2008). *Analysis of historic rammed earth construction*. School of Engineering. University of Durham: Ph.D thesis.

Jaquin, P. (2009). How mud bricks work – using unsaturated soil mechanics principles to explain the material properties of earth buildings. *EWB-UK Research Conference 2009*. Hosted by The Royal Academy of Engineering, February 20<sup>th</sup>.

Jaquin, P., Augarde, C., Toll, D. and D. Gallipoli. (2009). The strength of rammed earth materials. *Geotechnique* 59(5), 487-490.

Jayasinghe, C., and Mallawarachchi, R.S. (2009). Flexural Strength of Compressed Stabilized Earth Masonry Materials. *Materials and Design*. 3859-68.

Keefe, L. (2005). Earth building: methods and materials, repair and conservation. Oxon: Taylor & Francis.

Kerali, A. (2000). Destructive Effects of Moisture on the Long-term Durability of Stabilised Soil Blocks, Development Technology Unit: *Working Paper No.52*. Coventry: University of Warwick.

Kintingu S. (2009). *Design of interlocking bricks for enhanced wall construction, flexibility, alignment accuracy and load bearing*, University of Warwick: Ph.D thesis.

Knorre H. and Krumbein W. (2000) Bacterial calcification, 25-31, in Riding R. E. and Awramik S. M. (ed.), *Microbial Sediments*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.

Kumar, D., Palit, A., (1994). *Proceeding of American Power Conference*, Chicago, pp. 471-476.

Lackner K. S., Wendt C. H., Butt D. P., Joyce E. L. Jr., and Sharp D.H. (1995) Carbon dioxide disposal in carbonate minerals, *Energy*, 20(11), 1153-1170.

Lal, A. (1995). Handbook of low costing housing. India: New Age International Publishers.

Laursen P.T., Herskedal N.A., Jansen D.C. and Qu B. (2012), Interlocking compressed earth blocks walls: Out-of-plane structural response, *15th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Lisbon, Portugal, 71-81.

Lawrence, K. (2010). Water absorption tube test, Rilem II.4, amt laboratories [online] (viewed 30/8/2016).

Lisanne M. (2013). *High Quality Recycling of Construction and Demolition Waste in the Netherlands*. Uteracht University, Faculty of Geosciences.

Maini, S. (2005), *Earthen Architecture for Sustainable Habitat and Compressed Stabilized Earth Block Technology*; The Auroville Earth Institute: Auroville, India.

Marzahn G. (1999). *Investigation on the initial settlement of dry-stacked masonry under compression*. Leipzig Annual Civil Engineering Report: 4: 253–269. ISBN/ISSN: 1432-6590.

Mbumbia, L. and de Wilmars, A. M. (2002), *Behaviour of Low-Temperature Fired Laterite Bricks under Uniaxial Compressive Loading*. Construction of Building Material.

McKusick, M. (1997). *Discavaring Late Erector 1963-1988*, Ashton Press, Iowa City.

Mesbah A. Morel J.C, Walker P & Ghavami K., (2004), Development of a Direct Tensile Test for Compacted Earth Blocks Reinforced with Natural Fibers, *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 16(1): p. 95- 98

Minke, G. (2006). *Building with earth, design and technology of a sustainable architecture*. Boston, Basel, Berlin: Birkhauser Publishers for architecture.

Morris, J. and Q. Booyesen. (2000), *Earth construction in Africa. Proceedings: strategies for a sustainable Built Environment*, Pretoria, 23-25 August.

Mukherjee A., Dhami N.K., Reddy B.V.V and Reddey M.S.(2013), Bacterial Calcification for Enhancing Performance of Low Embodied Energy Soil-Cement Bricks, *Third International conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technology*, Kyoto, Japan, (2013).

Muynck W. De, Debrouwer D., Belie N. De and Verstraete, W. (2008), Bacterial carbonate precipitation improves the durability of cementitious materials, *Journal of Cement and Concrete Research*, 38(7), 1005-1014.

Nash, W.G. (1991). *Construction Competences for NVQ level 2, Bricklaying*. Nelson Thornes Ltd, Delta Place 27 Bath Road, Cheltenham GL53 7TH, United Kingdom.



- Nasly, M.A. and Yassin, A.A.M., (2009), Sustainable housing using an innovative interlocking block building system, *Proceedings of the Fifth National Conference on Civil Engineering (AWAM '09): Towards Sustainable Development*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, pp. 130–138.
- Navdeep K.D., M. Sudhakara Reddy., Abhijit M (2012), Improvement in strength properties of ash bricks by bacterial calcite, *Ecological Engineering* 39, 31-35.
- Nemati, M., Voordouw, G. (2003), Modification of porous media permeability, using calcium carbonate produced enzymatically in situ. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 33, 635–642.
- Othman N, Irwan, J. M. Faisal Alshalif, A. and Anneza L.H, (2016), Effect of ureolytic bacteria on compressive strength and water permeability on bio-concrete, *Advances in Civil, Architectural, Structural and Constructional Engineering: Proceedings of the International Conference on Civil, Architectural, Structural and Constructional Engineering*, Dong-A University, Busan, South Korea, August 21-23, 2015, 335.
- Oti, J. Kinuthia, J. and J. Bai. (2009). Engineering properties of unfired clay masonry bricks, *Journal of Engineering Geology*, 107(3-4): 130-139.
- Panagos, P., Jones, A., Bosco, C., Senthil Kumar P.S. (2011), European digital archive on soil maps (EuDASM): preserving important soil data for public free access. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 4 (5), pp. 434-443.
- Rahul K. (2011). *Development of Low Bulk Density Fireclay Insulation Brick*, Department of Ceramic Engineering, National Institute of Technology Rourkela.
- Ramachandran S.K., and. Ramkrishnan V. Bang, S.S, (2001), Remediation of concrete using microorganisms, *ACI Materials Journal* 98 (1), 3–9.
- Ramakrishnan, V.; Panchalan, R.K.; Bang, S.S. (2001). Improvement of concrete durability by bacterial mineral precipitation. 6p.



- Ramamurthy, K. and Nambiar, E.K. (2004). Accelerated masonry construction: Review and future prospects. *Proceedings of Structural Engineering materials (2004)*; 6:1-9.
- Rauch, M. (2007). Earth house with European standard: A review of the project „Rammed Earth House“ by the company Lehm Ton Erde Baukunst GmbH (Soil Clay Earth Building Art Ltd.) in Schlins, Australia, *International Symposium on Earthen Structures*; 22-24 August. Bangalore, India.
- Raut SH, Sarode DD, and Lele SS (2014), Biocalcification using *B. pasteurii* for strengthening brick masonry civil engineering structures. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*;30(1):191–200.
- Reddy, B.V., Lal, R., and Rao, K.S. (2007). Optimum Soil Grading for the Soil-Cement Blocks. *ASCE Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*. 19:139-48.
- Rigassi V, (1985), Compressed Earth Blocks: Manual of Production, *Volume 1: Manual of production*, A publication of the Deutsches Zentrum for Entwicklungstechnologien-GATE.
- Riza F.V. and Rahman I.A., (2014), The Properties of Compressed Earth-Blocks (CEB) Masonry Blocks, *Journal Eco-efficient Masonry Bricks and Blocks: Design, Properties and Durability*, 379,
- Riza F.V., Rahman I.A. and Zaidi A.M.A. (2010), A brief review of compressed stabilized earth brick (CSEB), *Int. Conference on Science and Social Research*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 999-1004.
- Rodriguez-Navarro C., Rodriguez-Gallego M., Chekroun K. B., and Muñoz M. T. (2003), Conservation of ornamental stone by *Myxococcus xanthus*-induced carbonate biomineralization, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69(4), 2182-2193.
- Roh Y., Phelps T. J., McMillan A. D., and Lauf R. J. (2001), Utilization of biomineralization processes with fly ash for carbon sequestration, DOE-AC05-00OR22725, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington D.C.

Sada Y, Poon T and Wasu Pathom-aree (2014), The influence of biocalcification on soil-cement interlocking block compressive strength. *Base [En ligne]*, Volume 19 (2015), number 3, 262-269.

Samonin, V.V., Elikova, E.E., (2004). A study on the absorption of bacterial cells on porous materials. *Microbiology* 73 (6), 696–701.

Sanya, T. (2007), *Living in earth- The sustainability of earth architecture in Uganda*, The Oslo School of Architecture and Design, Norway: Ph.D thesis.

Sarda, D; Choonia, H.S.; Sarode, D.D.; and Lele, S.S. (2009) Biocalcification by *Bacillus pasteurii* urease: a novel application. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 36: 1111–1115p.

Shahrooz A., and Jialai W., (2015), Surface treatment of concrete bricks using calcium carbonate. *Construction and Building Materials*, 80, 273-278.

Siddique R, and Chalal N. K. (2011), Effect of ureolytic bacteria on concrete properties, *Construction and building materials*, 25, 3791-3801.

Stocks-Fischer S., Galinat J. K., Bang S. S. (1999) Microbiological precipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 31, 1563-1571.

Supriya H., Sarode D. D and Lele S.S (2013), Biocalcification using *B. pasteurii* for strengthening brick masonry civil engineering structures, *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, volume 30(1), 191-200.

Thanoon W. A., Jaafar M. S., Abdulkadir M. R., Ali A. A., Trikha D. N. and Najm A. M. (2004). Development of an innovative interlocking loadbearing hollow block system in Malaysia. *Construction and Building Materials*, 18, No. 6, 445–454.

The Economic Planning Unit (2006), Ninth Malaysia Plan 2006-2010, Prime Minister's Department, Putrajaya.

- Tiano, P. Biagiotti, L. and Mastromei, G. (1999). Bacterial Bio-mediated Calcite Precipitation for Monumental Stones Conservation: Methods of Evaluation. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 36: 139-145p.
- Van Paassen, L.A. (2009). *Biogrout- Ground Improvement by Microbially Induced Carbonate Precipitation*, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands: Ph.D. thesis.
- Vasco Costa, F. (1993) Plea for placement armour blocks in orderly patterns, *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Coastal Engineering* October 4–9, 1992, Venice, Italy pp. 1091–1100.
- Walker, P. (2004). Strength and Erosion Characteristics of Earth Blocks and Earth Block Masonry, *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 16(5), pg. 497-506, 2004.
- Walker, P., Keable, R., Martin, J. and V. Maniatidis. (2005), *Rammed earth: Design and Construction Guidelines*. BRE Bookshop, UK.
- Whiffin, V.S. (2004). *Microbial CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation for the Production of Biocement*, Murdoch University, Western Australia: Ph.D. thesis.
- Wilson, G. (1995). The prediction of evaporative fluxes from unsaturated soil surfaces. France: A.A. Balkema.
- Zami, M. and A. Lee. (2007), Earth as an alternative building materials for sustainable low cost housing in Zimbabwe. *The 7th International Postgraduate Research Conference*. March 28-29, 2007, The Lowry, Salford Quays, Salford, Greater Manchester, UK.
- Zami, M. and A. Lee. (2011), Widespread adoption of contemporary earth construction in Africa to address urban housing crisis. *Journal of the Built & Human Environment Review*, 4(2). 85-96.